

Rating assessment of the problematical level of regions in the SKFD

Sergei V. Dokholyan, Dr. of Sci. (Econ.), Professor
<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4609-448X>; *SPIN-code (RSCI): 6492-9940*
Scopus author ID: 57192983920
e-mail: sergsvd@mail.ru

For citation

Dokholyan S.V. Rating assessment of the problematical level of regions in the SKFD // Market economy problems. – 2021. – No. 4. – Pp. 20-30 (In Russian).

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.33051/2500-2325-2021-4-20-30>

Abstract

The asymmetric development of regions leads to the emergence of problem areas, which negatively affects the economic growth of the country and increases social tension. **The purpose of the scientific article** is to develop an integral indicator that assesses the level of problematicity in the socio-economic development of regions using the example of the North Caucasian Federal District. **Method or methodology of the work.** The methodological basis of the study is the data of the Federal State Statistics Service of Russia, regional budgets, that reflect the unequal in the socio-economic development of the North Caucasus' regions. The integral indicator was constructed by using the method of taxonomic analysis. **Results** of the study are to develop a methodical approach to rating problematical level of socio-economic development of the regions of the North Caucasus Federal District. In the article, to assess the degree of problematicity of the region, a number of indicators were proposed that reflect the specifics of the development of the regions of the North Caucasus Federal District. Among the key indicators are the following: the level of female and male unemployment, the share of low-educated workers and small businesses in the regions of the North Caucasus Federal District, the level of subsidies from the federal budget of Russia, etc. In the study was obtained the rating assessment of the troubled regions, in accordance with which the least problematic proved Stavropol Territory, and the outsider – the Republic of Ingushetia. **Scope of practical application of the results.** The results obtained have the significant practical value and can be used by regional state authorities to improve the social and economic policy of the region, which is aimed to reduce disparities in the development of the North Caucasus. **Conclusions.** Alignment of imbalances in the development of the regions of the North Caucasus Federal District is the basis of sustainable growth not only to a particular region, but also the Russian Federation as a whole.

Keywords: *problem region, level of problematicity, socio-economic development, rating assessment, North Caucasian region, taxonomy indicator.*

References

1. Gavrilko, G.N. "Economic differentiation of European regions and social policy", available at: <https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/290215178.pdf>.
2. Dubrov, A.M., Mkhitarian, V.S. and Troshin, L.I. (2003), *Multidimensional statistical methods: textbook*, Finance and statistics, M., 352 p.
3. Law of the Kabardino-Balkarian Republic «On the republican budget of the Kabardino-Balkarian Republic for 2020 and for the planning period of 2021 and 2022» No. 45-RZ dated December 27, 2019, available at: <https://rg.ru/2019/12/28/kbr-zakon45-reg-dok.html> (Accessed 03.09.2021).

4. Law of the Karachay-Cherkess Republic «On the republican budget of the Karachay-Cherkess Republic for 2020 and for the planning period 2021 and 2022» No. 70-RZ dated December 19, 2019, available at: <https://docs.cntd.ru/document/561670995> (Accessed 03.09.2021).
5. Law of the Republic of Dagestan «On the republican budget of the Republic of Dagestan for 2020 and for the planning period of 2021 and 2022» No. 18 of 03.04.2020, available at: http://minfinrd.ru/svedeniya_ob_ispolzovanii_vydelyaemykh_byudzhetykh_sredstv (Accessed 03.09.2021).
6. Law of the Republic of Ingushetia «On the republican budget for 2020 and for the planning period of 2021 and 2022» No. 59-RZ dated 30.12.2019, available at: <https://www.mfri.ru/index.php/open-budget/byudzhety-dlya-grazhdan> (Accessed 03.09.2021).
7. Law of the Republic of North Ossetia-Alania «On the republican budget of the Republic of North Ossetia-Alania for 2020 and for the planning period 2021 and 2022» No. 86-RZ dated December 27, 2019, available at: <https://docs.cntd.ru/document/561670063> (Accessed 04.09.2021).
8. Law of the Stavropol Territory «On the budget of the Stavropol Territory for 2020 and the planning period of 2021 and 2022» No. 95-kz of 13.12.2019, available at: <https://docs.cntd.ru/document/561640448> (Accessed 04.09.2021).
9. Law of the Chechen Republic «On the republican budget for 2020 and for the planning period 2021 and 2022» No. 61-RZ dated 16.12.2019, available at: <https://docs.cntd.ru/document/561638649> (Accessed 04.09.2021).
10. Kovalskaya, L.S. (2011), "Methodical approach to assessing regional potential", *Efektivna ekonomika*, no. 5, available at: <http://www.economy.nayka.com.ua/?op=1&z=1761> (Accessed 02.09.2021).
11. Kuznetsov, R.A. (2013), "The main approaches to assessing the level of socio-economic development of the region and the development of directions of state support for problem areas", *Socio-economic phenomena and processes*, no. 12 (58), pp. 71-76, available at: <https://cyberleninka.ru/article/n/osnovnye-podhody-k-otsenke-urovnya-sotsialno-ekonomicheskogo-razvitiya-regiona-i-vyrabotke-napravleniy-gosudarstvennoy-podderzhki/viewer> (Accessed 02.09.2021).
12. Magomadov, Yu.D. (2013), "Problematic regions in the economic space of Russia: identification and development management", *Modern problems of science and education*, no. 6, available at: <https://science-education.ru/ru/article/view?id=11201> (Accessed 31.08.2021).
13. Petrova, E.A. (2013), "Theoretical and methodological approaches to the analysis of the socio-economic development of the region and interregional comparisons: Russian and foreign experience", *Vestnik Volgogr. state un-that. Series 3: Economics, Ecology*, no. 1 (22), pp. 46-55.
14. Pripoten, V.Yu. and Alferova, I.E. (2017), "Assessment of the socio-economic development of the region as a component of indicative development management", *Economy of construction and urban economy*, vol. 13, no. 4, pp. 327-336.
15. "Regions of Russia. Socio-economic indicators – 2020", *Federal State Statistics Service*, available at: https://gks.ru/bgd/regl/b20_14p/Main.htm (Accessed 03.09.2021).
16. Samarina, V.P. (2008), "Problem region as an object of analysis and management", *National interests: priorities and security*, no. 5, pp. 46-52.
17. Tolchinskaya, M.N. (2008), "Typification of backward regions of Russia and ways of overcoming depression", *Regional problems of economic transformation*, no. 4, available at: <http://www.rppe.ru/wp-content/uploads/2010/02/tolchinskaja-mn.pdf> (Accessed 31.09.2021).
18. Amendola, A., Easaw, J. and Savoia, A. (2013), "Inequality in Developing Economies: The Role of Institutional Development", *Public Choice*, no. 155 (1-2), pp. 43-60.
19. Gorzelak, G. (1981), "Statistical Comparative Analysis-Theory and Practice", *Wiadomo'sci, Stat*, no. 8, pp. 16-19.
20. Nurlanova, N.K., Satybaldin, A.A., Brimbetova, N.Zh. and Kireyeva, A.A. (2019), "Reduction of Economic Disparities in the Regions of Kazakhstan Based on Inclusive Development", *Journal of Asian Finance Economics and Business*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 299-307.

About author

Sergei V. Dokholyan, Doctor of Sci. (Econ.), Professor, Chief Research, Institute of Socio-economic Studies of the DFRC of the RAS, Makhachkala, the Russian Federation.